Previous references.

Ch 180-09
Ch 239-09

1911.
(Courts)

File No. 261-10

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.

F. CS-49-13(1-3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-3

Part B. for 1911

SUBJECT.

Transmission of the records of Civil Courts to the Central Record room

---

Table of Contents

1. To the Regr. Ch. el. no J 3115 & 13.5.11
Calls for the opinion of the Chief Court
2. From the Regr. Ch. el. no 5369/384 & 23.6.1911.
~~State~~ Gives the opinion of the Judges of the Ch. Ct.
3. To the Regr. Ch. Ct. & Chingleput, & Tanjore no J 391-3 & 29.7.11
Passes order in the matter limiting in the period to 6 months in certain cases.

G. R. S. 58
Recorded by
A Sadasivan
19.9.11

R A-49-

G.R.S. 11] 190-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts.)

NOTES.

C to File No. 261-10

FILE HEADING.

Transmission of records of Civil Courts to the Central Record Room.

From

No. Dated Received

SUBJECT No. 49.

Records of munsiffs' courts to be sent to central records at the end of a year after disposal.

Messrs. K. Krishnachar, Seringapatam Taluk; and H. Gundappa, Kunigal Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It was the old practice to detain records in the respective munsiffs' courts until the end of one year after the disposal of cases. But latterly the period of detention has been reduced to three months and this hinders the early return of exhibits to the parties when they apply for them, the getting of copies by parties as soon as they want them and the speedy reference to those records in the disposal of other cases; so the old practice may be revived.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be referred to the Chief Court to see if the old rule fixing the period as six months for all courts cannot be revived.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Draft Subd

Accs. (122) SN (11)

6-5-11

Issued

9/5/11

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Co. File No. 261 of 1910–11

Serial No.	
1	To the Registrar, Chief Court N J3110 dt 13.5.11 Calls for the opinion of the Chief Court.
2	From the same Officer, N 5869 dt 23.6.11 States that except Mr. Justice 3824 Paramsiva Iyer who is for extending the period for 4 months, the Chief Court Considers that 3 months' time is sufficient Subd for orders, with a draft for approval or for other orders Hd (122) SN (11) 3.7.11
3	877 4 The ~~diffe~~ hardship complained of is felt by parties having business in outlying Courts i Courts situate Elsewhere than at the Hd places where there is a Central Record Room. Execution proceedings are sometimes delayed owing to the necessity of getting back the records from the Central Records. The old rule of 6 months was working fairly well and it is not clear why it is considered impracticable. One year is too long a period and would result in the accumulation of records in the Court. a draft is however put up in accordance with the

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ctc File No. 261 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Views Expressed by the Ch. Ct.

3/7/11

2nd Cr

99 What are the revised rules pending before Govt?

11/7/11

1st pin. please note & send up.

13/7/11

Subd. Please see Rule 22 of the new Record Rules recently sanctioned vide Go. No. J. 4-Ct. 239-09-8 dt 17.11.

dtcs (122) 13.7.11. SW(111)

9 [The Chief Court letter was written just a few days before Govt sanctioned the revised process rules. It will be seen that some of the Law associations objected to rule 22 when the draft was

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

Circulated & Then for opinion Post
this is not Change as the difficulty
~~Post~~ Complained of is felt mostly in
outlying places

13/7/11.

2nd (10) I am for making it 6
~~6 3~~
months in all Courts out
of the Station of Cutral Records.
Please draft & submit to
Dewan.

14/7/11

11 Putup draft accordingly

15/7/11.

16 Rec 1-8-11 1 Cl. 12 Draft submitted accordingly

Sec. (122) SN (11) 17.7.11.

17/7/11.

12565 Dewan draft approved by the Dewan.
Issue

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17.7.11

21/7/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.	
3	To the Regr, Chief Court, the Dy Comrs, Mysore & Seunkera Districts for communication to the R.A members concerned. J391-3. dt 29-7-11.
	Communicates that Govt consider it expedient to allow the records disposed of in Civil Courts situated in a place when there is no central Record to be retained in those Courts for a period of six months before they are passed on to the Central Record concerned & requests the Chief Court to be good enough to modify Rule 22 of the new Record Rules accordingly.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 310/28-266-10.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(S-)

, *the* 19 .

To The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Sir, I am directed to invite your attention to Subject No 49 of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly of 1910 and the reply of Govt thereto in the matter of extending the period allowed for the transmission of the records of the Munsiffs' Courts

over.

Date of issue 13-5-11

Signed by [illegible]

SUBJECT.

to the Central Records,
and has the honor to request
you to be so good as
to favour Govt with
the opinion of the Chief
Counsel on the matter.

B. S. Ghuznavi

For Secy.

SW(11)

Dft
HCR (122)
6-5-1911

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by SN

GPB—20,000—26·9·10

No. 5369/384

J320/Ch 24-10-2

BANGALORE,
23rd June 1911.

From,

V.A. Rajaratna Mudaliar, Esquire,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J3110/Cts261-10, dated the 13th May 1911, regarding subject No. 49 of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly of 1910, I am directed to state that both the ~~Officers~~ Officiating Chief Judge and Mr. ~~K.S.~~ Justice Chandrasekhara Aiyar are of opinion that the period of three months allowed for the transmission of the records of the Munsiffs' Courts to the Central Records is sufficient, while Mr. Justice Paramasiva Iyer thinks that it may be extended to 4 months.

I am to add that the said period of three months was considered sufficient by the permanent Chief Judge when the revised rules which are now before the Government for sanction were drafted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Rajaratnam

A.V.

REGISTRAR.

12565 4

3210/26/7 ab

G.R.S. 28.]

Ch. File No. 261-10

Serial No. 3.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(ch.)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 29-4-11

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaiya

READ-- Subject N. 49 of the Progs of the Representative Assembly of 1910. and the reply of Govt thereto in the matter of extending the period allowed for the transmission of the records of the Munsiffs' Courts to the Central Record.

(2) Letter N. 5369/834 dated

ORDER THEREON.—No.

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

-the 23rd June 1911 from the
Registrar of the Chief Court
of Mysore in the matter

Order thereon No. J 391-3/cls 261-10-3 & 29-7-11

Govt consider it expedient
to allow the records disposed
of in Civil Courts situated in a place where there is no Cantonment Record
~~the Station Cantonment Records~~
to be retained in those Courts
for a period of six months
before they are passed
on to the ~~Mysore~~ Cantonment
Central Record. The
Chief Court is requested to be good enough to
modify Rule 22 of the new
Record Rules accordingly.

SA
for Secretary.

To SN(II) 17/7/11

The Registrar, Chief Court
& Dy Commrs. Mysore and
Trinkur Districts for
Communication to the R.A.
Members Concerned.

(Copy to Dasera Section)

28 July 11

SN

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

read:-

Subject No.49 of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly of 1910 and the reply of Government thereto in the matter of extending the period allowed for the transmission of the records of the Munsiff's Courts to the Central Records.

2. Letter No.5369/334 dated the 23rd June 1911 from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore on the matter.

--------oOo------

Order thereon No. J 291-3/CS 261-10-3

Dated Bangalore, 25th July 1911.

Government consider it expedient to allow the records disposed of in Civil Courts situated in a place where there is no central record to be retained in those courts for a period of six months before they are passed on to the Central Record concerned. The Chief Court is requested to be good enough to modify Rule 22 of the new Record Rules accordingly.

For Offg: Secretary to Govt.,

To
The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioners of Mysore and Hassan Districts, for communication to the Representative Assembly members concerned.